

BREAKING THE REACTIVITY TREND WITH COOPERATIVELY-CATALYZED (4K) REACTION

Daniel J. Hoard^a, Petr Kulhanek^b, Leonid O. Kononov^c, Yogesh Sutar^a, Alexei V. Demchenko^a

^a Department of Chemistry, Saint Louis University, 3501 Laclede Ave, St Louis, Missouri 63103, USA

daniel.hoard@slu.edu ^b National Centre for Biomolecular Research, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Kamenice 5, CZ-62500 Brno, Czech Republic

^c N.D. Zelinsky Institute of Organic Chemistry, Russian Academy of Sciences, 119991 Moscow, Russian Federation

The classical Koenigs-Knorr glycosidation of bromides or chlorides promoted with Ag_2O or Ag_2CO_3 works well only with reactive substrates, ideally both reactive (armed) donor and reactive acceptor [1]. This reaction was found to be practically ineffective with unreactive (disarmed) per-O-benzoylated halides and sugar alcohols. Recently, it was discovered that the addition of catalytic (Lewis) acids to a silver salt-promoted reaction has a dramatic effect on the reaction rate and yield [2]. A tentative mechanism for this cooperatively-catalyzed glycosylation reaction has been proposed, and the improved understanding of the reaction led to more efficient protocols and broader applications to a variety of glycosidic linkages [3]. Since Ag_2O -mediated activation was introduced by German chemists Koenigs and Knorr, and "cooperatively catalyzed" is Kooperativ Katalysiert in German, we refer to this new reaction as "the 4K reaction"[4].

Remarkably, the reactivity order of differentially substituted glycosyl halides changes dramatically under these cooperatively catalyzed reaction conditions [3]. For instance, we have observed reactivity trends wherein the reaction rates of the expectedly more reactive armed glycosyl halides were surpassed by their expectedly less reactive disarmed counterparts. Described herein is our investigation into the peculiar reactivity trends of the 4K reaction through synthetic, computational, and theoretical reasoning.



References:

- 1. Y. Singh, S. Geringer and A. V. Demchenko, Chem. Rev. 2022, 122, 11701-11758.
- 2. Y. Singh and A. V. Demchenko, Chem. Eur. J. 2019, 25, 1461-1465.
- 3. Y. Singh and A. V. Demchenko, Chem. Eur. J. 2020, 26, 1042-1051.
- 4. A. V. Demchenko and C. De Meo, Carbohydr. Res. 2024, 538, 109102.